arXiv:1108.2188v1 [math.CV] 10 Aug 2011

SUB-NORMAL SOLUTIONS TO PAINLEVÉ'S SECOND DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

NORBERT STEINMETZ

Abstract. In a recent paper, Aimo Hinkkanen and Ilpo Laine [8] proved that the transcendental solutions to Painlevé's second differential equation $w'' = \alpha + zw + w^3$ have either order of growth $\varrho = 3$ or else $\varrho = \frac{3}{2}$. We complete this result by proving that there exist no sub-normal solutions ($\varrho = \frac{3}{2}$) other than the so-called Airy solutions.

Keywords. Normal families, Nevanlinna theory, Painlevé transcendents, elliptic function, Yosida function, Airy solutions, re-scaling method, sub-normal solutions, Bäcklund transformation

2010 MSC. 30D30, 30D35, 30D45

1. **Introduction and Main Results**

The solutions to the second Painlevé differential equation

$$w'' = \alpha + zw + w^3 \qquad [\mathrm{II}_\alpha]$$

are either rational or transcendental meromorphic functions of finite order. More precisely, the so-called *second Painlevé transcendents* have order of growth $\frac{3}{2} \le \varrho \le 3$ (see Hinkkanen and Laine [7], Shimomura [10, 11] and the author [13, 14]). In a recent paper, Hinkkanen and Laine [8] proved that the order is either $\varrho = 3$ or else $\varrho = \frac{3}{2}$. This result was commonly expected, but nevertheless marks a great breakthrough.

The aim of this paper is to describe the solutions of order $\varrho = \frac{3}{2}$, called *sub-normal*, in more detail, and using this information to prove the main result on *non-existence* of *non-Airy* sub-normal solutions. The description is intimately associated with the properties of the *first integral*

$$W = w^4 + zw^2 + 2\alpha w - w'^2, \quad W' = w^2.$$

According to [8], the question whether or not w has order $\varrho = \frac{3}{2}$ depends on the cluster set $\mathfrak{C}_\varepsilon$ of the function $W(z)z^{-2}$ as $z \to \infty$ on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon$. Here $\mathcal{P}$ denotes the set of non-zero poles of w, and $\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon$ denotes the ε-neighbourhood

$$\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon = \bigcup\nolimits_{p\in\mathcal{P}} \triangle_\varepsilon(p), \quad \triangle_\varepsilon(p) = \{z : |z - p| < \varepsilon|p|^{-1/2}\}.$$

The sub-normal solutions are characterised by the conditions

$$n(r, w) = O(r^{3/2}) \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} \text{(i)} & \mathfrak{C}_\varepsilon = \{-1/4\} \\ \text{(ii)} & \mathfrak{C}_\varepsilon = \{0\} \end{cases} \quad \text{for some } \epsilon > 0,$$

and are called of the *first* and *second kind*, respectively. Special solutions of the first kind are the so-called Airy solutions, which occur for parameters $\alpha \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$ and are obtained by (repeated) application of the so-called Bäcklund transformations to the solutions to the special Riccati equations

$$w' = \pm(z/2 + w^2). \tag{1}$$

Theorem 1. *Equation* $[\mathrm{II}_\alpha]$ *has no sub-normal solutions other than the Airy solutions* (*which occur for* $\alpha \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$).

The question whether or not zero may be deficient for any Painlevé transcendent is still open. From $\alpha/w = w''/w - z - 2w^2$ and $m(r, w) = O(\log r)$ follows $m(r, 1/w) = O(\log r)$ if $\alpha \neq 0$, hence the value zero is non-deficient. In case $\alpha = 0$ it is well-known and easily proved that $m(r, 1/w) \leq \frac{1}{2}T(r, w) + O(\log r)$ for any transcendental solution (see [3], Thm. 10.3). As a by-product of the Hinkkanen-Laine result and Theorem 1 we obtain

Corollary 1. *For every solution to* $w'' = zw + 2w^3$ *the value zero is non-deficient.*

The paper is organised as follows: In section 2 we introduce the re-scaling method developed in [13], which together with Bäcklund transformations (section 6) constitutes the main tool. In sections 4, 5, and 7 the solutions of the first and second kind, respectively, are described in more detail in terms of the distribution of their poles and residues, while sections 8, 9, and 10 are devoted to the proofs of Theorem 1 and Corollary 1. Finally, in section 11 we will give an outlook to sub-normal solutions to Painlevé's fourth equation.

2. **The Re-scaling Method**

The re-scaling method was developed in [13] to prove the sharp estimate $\varrho \leq 5/2$ for the solutions to Painlevé's first equation $w'' = z + 6w^2$. It also applies to the second and fourth Painlevé equation (see [14]). In the present case, for any fixed solution to equation $[\mathrm{II}_\alpha]$ the family $(w_h)_{|h|\geq 1}$ of re-scaled functions

$$w_h(\mathfrak{z}) = h^{-1/2} w(h + h^{-1/2}\mathfrak{z})$$

is normal in the plane, and every limit function

$$\mathfrak{w} = \lim_{h_n \to \infty} w_{h_n} \tag{2}$$

satisfies

$$\mathfrak{w}'' = \mathfrak{w} + 2\mathfrak{w}^3, \tag{3}$$

hence also

$$\mathfrak{w}'^2 = \mathfrak{w}^4 + \mathfrak{w}^2 + c. \tag{4}$$

The constant solutions to (3) are $\mathfrak{w} = 0$ and $\mathfrak{w} = \pm\sqrt{-1/2}$, while the non-constant solutions to (3) and (4) are either elliptic or trigonometric functions; the latter only occur in the exceptional cases $c = 1/4$ and $c = 0$:

$$\begin{array}{lcll} \mathfrak{w} & = & \pm\tan(\mathfrak{z}/\sqrt{2} + \tau)/\sqrt{2} & (c = 1/4) \\ \mathfrak{w} & = & \pm i/\sin(i\mathfrak{z} + \tau) & (c = 0). \end{array} \tag{5}$$

For $c \neq 0, 1/4$ all solutions to equation (4) occuring as limit functions of the re-scaling process are elliptic functions.

Poles. The nature of any solution is determined by the distribution of its poles. The set $\mathcal{P}$ of non-zero poles of some fixed solution of $[\mathrm{II}_\alpha]$ is an infinite set, as follows from $m(r, w) = O(\log r)$ (for notation and results of Nevanlinna Theory the reader is referred to the monographs of Hayman [5] and Nevanlinna [9]). At any pole p the Laurent series developments ($\eta = \operatorname*{res}_p w = \pm 1$)

$$\begin{aligned} w(z) &= \eta(z-p)^{-1} - \tfrac{1}{6}\eta p(z-p) - \tfrac{1}{4}(\alpha+\eta)(z-p)^2 + \mathbf{h}(z-p)^3 + \cdots, \\ W(z) &= -(z-p)^{-1} + 10\eta\mathbf{h} - \tfrac{7}{36}p^2 - \tfrac{1}{3}p(z-p) - \tfrac{1}{4}(1+\eta\alpha)(z-p)^2 + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

hold; the coefficient $\mathbf{h}$ remains undetermined. Pre-scribing η and $\mathbf{h}$ at p uniquely determines a solution just like initial values w_0 and w_0' at z_0 do. The series converge on some disc $\triangle_\rho(p)$, with $\rho > 0$ independent of p.

The cluster set $\mathfrak{CL}_\varepsilon$ is closed and connected (as always), and also bounded by a constant only depending on ε, see [14], Prop. 3.5.

Lemma 1. *The cluster set $\mathfrak{CL} = \mathfrak{CL}_\varepsilon$ does not depend on ε. Every limit*

$$\lim_{p_n\to\infty} [10\eta_n\mathbf{h}_n - \tfrac{7}{36}p_n^2]p_n^{-2} \quad (\eta_n = \operatorname*{res}_{p_n} w), \tag{6}$$

where (p_n) denotes any appropriate sequence of poles, also belongs to $\mathfrak{CL}$. Conversely, any limit $\lim\limits_{h_n\to\infty} h_n^{-2}W(h_n)$ with

$$\sup_n |h_n|^{1/2}\mathsf{dist}(h_n, \mathcal{P}) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \inf_n |h_n|^{1/2}\mathsf{dist}(h_n, \mathcal{P}) > 0$$

coincides with some limit (6).

Proof. The assertions are consequences of the following observation. If the limit (2) exists and solves (4), and if (k_n) denotes any sequence such that $|h_n|^{1/2}|h_n - k_n|$ is bounded, then some subsequence of w_{k_n} converges to $\mathfrak{w}(\mathfrak{z}_0 + \mathfrak{z})$ which solves the same differential equation as does $\mathfrak{w}$. q.e.d.

We note explicitly $\lim\limits_{p\to\infty} 180p^{-2}\mathbf{h}(p)\operatorname{res}_p w = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } \mathfrak{CL} = \{-1/4\} \\ 7 & \text{if } \mathfrak{CL} = \{0\} \end{cases}$, while the solutions to $w' = \pm(z/2 + w^2)$ satisfy $180p^{-2}\mathbf{h}(p)\operatorname*{res}_p w = -1$.

To describe the possible distributions of poles of the second Painlevé transcendents of order $\varrho = \frac{3}{2}$ we need the following result on the local distribution of poles; it is based on the distribution of poles of the limit functions $\mathfrak{w} = \lim\limits_{p_n\to\infty} w_{p_n}$ $(p_n \in \mathcal{P})$.

Lemma 2. *Suppose that w solves $[\mathrm{II}_\alpha]$ and has order of growth $\varrho = \frac{3}{2}$. Then given $\varepsilon > 0$ and $R > 0$ there exists $r_0 > 0$ such that for any pole p satisfying $|p| > r_0$, the poles of w in $\triangle_R(p) = \{z : |z-p| < R|p|^{-1/2}\}$ may be labelled in such a way that $p_0 = p$ and*

(first kind) $$|p_k - (p + k\sqrt{2}\pi p^{-1/2})| < \varepsilon|p|^{-1/2} \quad (-k_1 \le k \le k_2)$$

and

(second kind) $$|p_k - (p + k\pi i p^{-1/2})| < \varepsilon|p|^{-1/2} \quad (-k_1 \le k \le k_2),$$

respectively, hold.

The **proof** is an immediate implication of the re-scaling method and the known distribution of poles of the solutions (5) to the special re-scaled differential equation.

To determine the asymptotics of the solutions of order $\varrho = \frac{3}{2}$ more precisely, we shall repeatedly apply the following estimates; the first one is an immediate corollary of the Cauchy integral formula.

Lemma 3. *Suppose that* f *is holomorphic in some sector* $S : a < \arg z < b$ *satisfying* $f(z) = O(|z|^\lambda)$ *as* $z \to \infty$ *in* S. *Then* $f^{(k)}(z) = O(|z|^{\lambda-k})$ *as* $z \to \infty$ *holds in every smaller sector* $S(\delta) : a + \delta < \arg z < b - \delta$.

Lemma 4. *Let* (c_k) *be any complex sequence* $(0 < |c_1| \le |c_2| \le \cdots \le |c_k| \to \infty)$ *with counting function* $n(r) = \text{card}\,\{c_k : |c_k| \le r\} = O(r^\varrho)$ $(\varrho = h + \gamma,\ h \in \mathbb{N}_0,$ *and* $0 < \gamma < 1)$. *Then* $|z - c_k| \ge \kappa \max\{|z|, |c_k|\}$ *for some* $\kappa > 0$ *and every* k *implies*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Big| \frac{z^h}{(z - c_k)c_k^h} \Big| = O(|z|^{\varrho-1}) \quad (z \to \infty).$$

Proof. From $n(r) = O(r^\varrho)$ and $\Big|\dfrac{z^h}{(z-c_k)c_k^h}\Big| \le \kappa^{-1} \dfrac{r^{h-1}}{|c_k|^h} \min\Big\{1, \dfrac{r}{|c_k|}\Big\}$ on $|z| = r$ follows

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Big| \frac{z^h}{(z - c_k)c_k^h} \Big| \le \kappa^{-1} r^{h-1} \int_{|c_1|}^{r} \frac{dn(t)}{t^h} + \kappa^{-1} r^h \int_r^\infty \frac{dn(t)}{t^{h+1}} = O(r^{\varrho-1}). \quad \text{q.e.d.}$$

3. Solutions in the Yosida Class

If the cluster set $\mathfrak{C}\mathfrak{L}$ contains none of the values $0, -1/4$, then all limit functions (2) are non-constant, hence w belongs to the *Yosida Class* $\mathcal{Y}_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}$, being defined and discussed in [15]. These solutions are traditionally called *non-truncated* (see Boutroux [1, 2]). Among others it follows that $T(r, w) \asymp r^3$ and that the poles are *regularly distributed*: given $R > 0$ there exists $r_0 > 0$ and $C > 1$, such that any disc $\triangle_R(z_0)$ with $|z_0| > r_0$ contains at least $C^{-1}R^2$ and at most CR^2 poles. This holds in a modified form if the cluster set is restricted to some sector $S = \{z : \theta_1 \le \arg z \le \theta_2\}$: the poles in S are regularly distributed, and again $T(r, w) \asymp r^3$ holds.

4. Solutions of the First Kind

Throughout this section w will denote a transcendent of the first kind.

Strings of poles of the first kind. A *string* in the truncated sector

$$S_0' : |\arg z| \le \pi/3,\ \text{Re}\, z \ge c_1 > 0$$

is a sequence $(p_k)_{k=0,1,2,\ldots}$ such that (we assume $\text{Re}\, p_k^{-1/2} > 0$)

$$p_{k+1} = p_k + \sqrt{2}\pi p_k^{-1/2}(1 + o(1)) \quad (k \to \infty);$$

p_0 is called the *root* of the string $(p_k)_{k=0,1,2,\ldots}$.

Proposition 1. *For* $c_1 > 0$ *sufficiently large, every pole* p_0 *in* S_0' *is the root of some uniquely determined string of poles* $(p_k)_{k=0,1,2,\ldots}$ *contained in* S_0'. *It has the following properties:*

a. *w has constant residues on the string;*

b. $\lim\limits_{k\to\infty} \arg p_k = 0$;

c. *The counting function of the string satisfies* $n(r) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3\pi} r^{3/2}(1+o(1))$.

Proof. The construction of the sequence (p_k) is obvious. We denote by c_n the number r_0 in Lemma 2 which belongs to $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_n = 10^{-n}$ and $R = 5 > \sqrt{2}\pi$, and start with $p_0 \in S_0'$. If p_k is already constructed, then p_{k+1} is uniquely determined by Lemma 2. We have, however, to ensure that the procedure does not break down, that is we have to show that $p_{k+1} \in S_0'$. Writing $p_k = |p_k|e^{i\theta_k}$ it follows that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \operatorname{Re} p_{k+1} & > & \operatorname{Re} p_k + |p_k|^{-1/2}(\sqrt{2}\pi\cos(\theta_k/2) - \varepsilon_1) \\ & \geq & \operatorname{Re} p_k + (\sqrt{6}\pi/2 - \varepsilon_1)|p_k|^{-1/2} > \operatorname{Re} p_k + 3|p_k|^{-1/2}. \end{array}$$

Similarly,

$$|\mathrm{Im}\ p_{k+1}| \leq |\mathrm{Im}\ p_k| + |p_k|^{-1/2}(\varepsilon_1 - \sqrt{2}\pi|\sin(\theta_k/2)|) < |\mathrm{Im}\ p_k| + |p_k|^{-1/2}\varepsilon_1$$

holds. With the help of $\dfrac{a+b}{c+d} \leq \max\left\{\dfrac{a}{c}, \dfrac{b}{d}\right\}$ for $a, b, c, d > 0$ we obtain

$$|\theta_{k+1}| = \arctan\frac{|\mathrm{Im}\ p_{k+1}|}{\operatorname{Re} p_{k+1}} \leq \arctan\max\left\{\frac{|\mathrm{Im}\ p_k|}{\operatorname{Re} p_k}, \frac{\epsilon_1}{3}\right\} \leq \max\{|\theta_k|, \epsilon_1\}. \tag{7}$$

It is obvious that $\operatorname{Re} p_k \to \infty$ monotonically, and that the sequence $(|\mathrm{Im}\ p_k|)$ decreases as long as $|\theta_k| \geq \varepsilon_1$. From $|\theta_k| < \dfrac{|\mathrm{Im}\ p_k|}{\operatorname{Re} p_k}$, however, follows that $|\theta_{k_1'}| < \varepsilon_1$ for some k_1', hence $|\theta_k| < \varepsilon_1$ for $k \geq k_1'$ follows from (7). If we denote by k_n the first index such $\operatorname{Re} p_k > c_n$, then the above argument shows that there exists some $k_n' \geq k_n$, such that $|\theta_k| < \varepsilon_n$ holds for $k > k_n'$. This yields b. To prove c. we consider the conjugate sequence $q_k = p_k^{3/2}$. From $p_{k+1} = p_k + \sqrt{2}\pi p_k^{-1/2} + o(|p_k|^{-1/2})$ follows $q_{k+1} = q_k + \frac{3}{2}\sqrt{2}\pi + o(1)$, thus $q_k = \frac{3}{2}\sqrt{2}\pi k(1+o(1))$,

$$p_k = \left(\tfrac{3}{2}\sqrt{2}\pi\right)^{2/3} k^{2/3}(1+o(1)) \quad \text{and} \quad n(r) = \tfrac{\sqrt{2}}{3\pi} r^{3/2}(1+o(1)). \quad \text{q.e.d.}$$

Remark. For $p_{-1} \in S_0'$ the string just constructed may be uniquely extended "to the left" such that $(p_k)_{k>-k_0} \subset S_0'$ and $p_{-k_0} \notin S_0'$. Relabelling this string we may thus always assume that $(p_k)_{k=0,1,2,\dots} \subset S_0'$, but $p_{-1} \notin S_0'$. Such a string is called *maximal.*

There is just one step from local to global distribution of poles.

Theorem 2. *Let w be any second Painlevé transcendent of the first kind. Then up to finitely many the poles of w form a finite number $\ell(w)$ of maximal strings $\sigma = (p_k)_{k=0,1,2,\dots}$ with total counting function*

$$n(r,w) = \ell(w)\,\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3\pi}\,r^{3/2}(1+o(1)),$$

and such that the following is true:

- *w has constant residues on σ;*
- *σ is asymptotic to one of the rays $\arg z = 0$, $\arg z = \frac{2}{3}\pi$, and $\arg z = -\frac{2}{3}\pi$;*
- *σ is accompanied by a string (q_k) of zeros $q_k = p_k + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\pi p_k^{-1/2}(1+o(1))$;*
- *any two strings (p_k) and (p_k') are separated from each other, i.e.,*

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} |p_k|^{1/2}\mathsf{dist}(p_k, \{p_n'\}) = \infty.$$

Furthermore, w has Nevanlinna characteristic

$$T(r,w)=\ell(w)\,\frac{\sqrt{8}}{9\pi}\,r^{3/2}(1+o(1)),$$

and satisfies $w(z)\sim\sqrt{-z/2}$ as $z\to\infty$ on every sector $S_0''(\delta):|\arg z-\pi|<\frac{\pi}{3}-\delta$ and $S_{\pm 1}''(\delta)=e^{\pm 2\pi i/3}S_0''(\delta)$, for some suitably chosen branch of the square-root depending on the sector.

Proof. From $n(r,w)=O(r^{3/2})$ follows that there are only finitely many strings of poles. This yields $T(r,w)=N(r,w)+O(\log r)=\ell(w)\frac{\sqrt{8}}{9\pi}r^{3/2}(1+o(1))$. The asymptotics for w follows from the fact that the re-scaling process for any sequence (h_n) with $|h_n|^{1/2}\mathsf{dist}(h_n,\mathcal{P})\to\infty$ leads to the limit functions $\mathfrak{w}=\sqrt{-1/2}$. q.e.d.

Series expansion. In [14] it was shown that for every second transcendent with $w(0)\neq\infty$

$$w(z)=w(0)+\lim_{r\to\infty}\sum_{|p|\le r}\frac{\eta(p)z}{(z-p)p}\quad(\eta(p)=\operatorname*{res}_p w)$$

holds; if w has a pole at $z=0$, the term $w(0)$ has to be replaced by $\eta(0)/z$. In our case the above Mittag-Leffler expansion exists not only as a Cauchy principal value, but converges absolutely. Then also

$$W(z)=Q(z)-\frac{|\eta(0)|}{z}-\sum_{p\in\mathcal{P}}\frac{z}{(z-p)p}\tag{8}$$

holds, where Q is a polynomial of degree at most two (see [14], Thm. 4.3). Lemma 4 applies to $W-Q$, and from $|W(z)-Q(z)|=O(|z|^{1/2})$ as $z\to\infty$ in each sector $S_j''(\delta)$ and $\mathfrak{C}\mathfrak{L}=\{-1/4\}$ then follows $Q(z)=-\frac14 z^2+a_1z+a_0$. Also in each sector $S_j''(\delta)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} W &= -\tfrac14 z^2+a_1z+O(|z|^{1/2})\\ zw^2=zW' &= -\tfrac12 z^2+a_1z+O(|z|^{1/2})\\ w^4 &= \tfrac14 z^2-a_1z+O(|z|^{1/2}) \end{aligned}$$

hence $zw^2+w^4-W=-a_1z+O(|z|^{1/2})$ and $w'^2-2\alpha w=O(|z|^{1/2})$ yield $a_1=0$. We have thus proved

Theorem 3. *Any first kind transcendent w satisfies*

$$w=\sqrt{-z/2}+O(|z|^{-1})\tag{9}$$

as $z\to\infty$ in every sector $S_j''(\delta)$ (for some branch of $\sqrt{-z/2}$, depending on the sector),

$$W=-\tfrac14 z^2+O(|z|^{1/2})\quad\text{and}\quad Q(z)=-\tfrac14 z^2+a_0.$$

5. Solutions of the Second Kind

Now w will denote a sub-normal solution of the second kind. Again (8) holds, where now $\deg Q\le 1$ follows from $\mathfrak{C}\mathfrak{L}=\{0\}$ and Lemma 4. Since by [14], Thm. 4.5, the order of w is $\varrho\ge 2$ (hence $\varrho=3$) if $\deg Q=1$, we have $\deg Q=0$.

A *string* of poles $(p_k)_{k=0,1,2,\ldots}$ in the sector

$$S_0'':|\arg z-\pi|<\pi/3,\quad \operatorname{Re}z<-c_1,$$

is now characterised by the condition (Im $p_k^{-1} \ge 0$)

$$p_{k+1} = p_k + i\pi p_k^{-1/2}(1 + o(1)) \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots);$$

it is called *maximal* in S_0'' if $p_{-1} \notin S_0''$. Similarly we define strings of poles in the sectors $S_{\pm 1}'' = e^{\pm 2\pi i/3} S_0''$, and obtain the following analog to Theorem 2.

Proposition 2. *Let w be any sub-normal solution of the second kind. Then up to finitely many the poles of w form a finite number $\ell(w)$ of maximal strings $\sigma = (p_k)_{k=0,1,2,\ldots}$ with total counting function $n(r, w) = \ell(w)\, \frac{2}{3\pi}\, r^{3/2}(1 + o(1))$, and such that the following is true:*

- *the residues alternate, i.e., $\operatorname*{res}_{p_{k+1}} w = -\operatorname*{res}_{p_k} w$;*
- *σ is asymptotic to one of the rays $\arg z = \pi$, $\arg z = \frac{1}{3}\pi$, and $\arg z = -\frac{1}{3}\pi$;*
- *any two strings (p_k) and (p_k') are separated from each other.*

6. Bäcklund Transformations

The so-called *Airy solutions* are obtained from the solutions to any of the special Riccati equations (1) by successive application of so-called Bäcklund transformations. Generally spoken, a *Bäcklund transformation* is a change of variables $w_1(\zeta) = w(z)$, $\zeta = az$, that transforms equation $[\mathrm{II}_\alpha]$ into itself or into some equation $[\mathrm{II}_{\alpha_1}]$ with different parameter. Simple examples are $w_1(z) = -w(z)$ $(\alpha_1 = -\alpha)$ and $w_1(z) = \mu w(\mu z)$ $(\mu^3 = 1,\ \alpha_1 = \alpha)$. More sophisticated Bäcklund transformations are

$$w_1 = -w - \frac{\alpha + 1/2}{w' + w^2 + z/2} \quad \text{and} \quad w_{-1} = -w + \frac{\alpha - 1/2}{w' - w^2 - z/2}, \tag{10}$$

which change α to $\alpha_1 = \alpha + 1$ and $\alpha_1 = \alpha - 1$, respectively. It is obvious that Bäcklund transformations (10) preserve the order ϱ, and, by Theorem 2 and Proposition 2, even preserve the first and second kind solutions.

A special Bäcklund transformation. In [3] the authors describe the connection between equations $[\mathrm{II}_0]$ and $[\mathrm{II}_{\frac{1}{2}}]$. If y is a non-trivial solution to $[\mathrm{II}_0]$, then

$$w(z) = -\frac{d}{dz} \log y(-2^{-1/3} z) \tag{11}$$

solves $[\mathrm{II}_{\frac{1}{2}}]$ and is not an Airy solution $(w' \ne z/2 + w^2)$; conversely, if w solves $[\mathrm{II}_{\frac{1}{2}}]$ and is not an Airy solution, then the function y, being defined locally by

$$y^2(-2^{-1/3} z) = -2^{1/3}(w'(z) - z/2 - w^2(z)) \tag{12}$$

is a non-trivial solution to $[\mathrm{II}_0]$. The poles and zeros of y correspond to poles of w with residues 1 and -1, respectively. The Airy solutions to $[\mathrm{II}_{\frac{1}{2}}]$ correspond to the trivial solution $y = 0$. It is obvious that this transformation preserves the order of growth, but interchanges the transcendents of the first kind (minus the Airy solutions) and those of the second kind. This follows at once from the asymptotics of the involved functions, and also from the distribution of their poles: noting that $\tilde p = ap$ implies $\tilde p + b\tilde p^{-1/2} = a(p + a^{-3/2} b p^{-1/2})$, we obtain

$$a^{-3/2} b = \begin{cases} \pi i & \text{if } a = -2^{1/3} \quad \text{and } b = \sqrt{2}\pi \\ \sqrt{2}\pi & \text{if } a = -2^{-1/3} \quad \text{and } b = \pi i. \end{cases}$$

The possible distribution of poles $\oplus$ and $\ominus$ with residues 1 and -1, respectively, and zeros $\circledcirc$ of a second kind solution to $y'' = zy + 2y^3$ (left), and the distribution of poles and zeros of the corresponding first kind solution $w = -\frac{d}{dz}\log y(-2^{-1/3}z)$ (right) along the real axis is displayed below. The strings are separated from each other.

$$\cdots\oplus\quad\ominus\quad\oplus\quad\ominus\quad\oplus\quad\ominus\qquad\qquad\oplus\circledcirc\oplus\circledcirc\oplus\circledcirc\oplus\circledcirc\oplus\circledcirc\oplus\circledcirc\cdots$$

$$\cdots\circledcirc\quad\circledcirc\quad\circledcirc\quad\circledcirc\quad\circledcirc\quad\circledcirc\qquad\qquad\ominus\circledcirc\ominus\circledcirc\ominus\circledcirc\ominus\circledcirc\ominus\circledcirc\ominus\circledcirc\cdots$$

7. The Distribution of Residues

We shall denote by $n_\oplus(r)$ and $n_\ominus(r)$ the counting function of poles with residues 1 and -1, respectively. For second kind transcendents the residues are equally distributed in each string of poles, hence $n_\oplus(r) - n_\ominus(r) = o(r^{3/2})$ holds.

Let w be any first kind transcendent. If the circle $|z| = r$ contains no poles, then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{|z|=r} w(z)\,dz = n_\oplus(r) - n_\ominus(r) = (\ell_\oplus - \ell_\ominus)\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3\pi}r^{3/2}(1 + o(1))$$

holds, where $\ell_\oplus$ and $\ell_\ominus$ count the number of maximal strings with residues $+1$ and -1, respectively. We choose $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small and replace any arc of $|z| = r$ that intersects some disc $\triangle_\delta(p)$ by a sub-arcs of $\partial\triangle_\delta(p)$ (such that $|w(z)| = O(|z|^{1/2})$) to obtain a simple closed curve Γ_r. Then also

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma_r} w(z)\,dz = n_\oplus(r) - n_\ominus(r) \tag{13}$$

holds. If γ_r and γ_r' denote the part of Γ_r in $0 \le \arg z < \delta$ and $\delta \le \arg z \le \frac{2}{3}\pi$, respectively, then

$$\Big|\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\gamma_r} w(z)\,dz\Big| < K\delta r^{3/2}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\gamma_r'} w(z)\,dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\gamma_r'} \sqrt{-z/2}\,dz + o(r^{3/2}) = \mu\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3\pi}r^{3/2} + O(\delta r^{3/2}),$$

hold, with $\mu = \pm 1$ depending on the branch of $\sqrt{-z/2}$. This yields

Proposition 3. *Any sub-normal solution of the first kind satisfies*

$$|\ell_\oplus - \ell_\ominus| = 1 \quad\text{or else}\quad |\ell_\oplus - \ell_\ominus| = 3.$$

Remark. The following results deduced from Proposition 3 for the solutions to the Riccati equation

$$w' = z/2 + w^2 \tag{14}$$

are well known, see, e.g. [4]. Since all residues equal -1 we have $l_\oplus(w) = 0$, hence either $\ell_\ominus(w) = 1$ or else $\ell_\ominus(w) = 3$. There exist three distinguished solutions w_1, $w_2(z) = e^{2\pi i/3}w_1(ze^{2\pi i/3})$, and $w_3(z) = e^{-2\pi i/3}w_1(ze^{-2\pi i/3})$ with $\ell_\ominus = 1$. The labelling is chosen in such a way that $w_1(z) \sim \psi(z) = \sqrt{-z/2}$ with Im $\psi(z) > 0$

holds on $0 < \arg z < 2\pi$. By symmetry and uniqueness, the poles of w_1 are real and positive. For any solution $w_0 \neq w_k$ to (14) we have

$$w_0(z) \sim \left\{ \begin{array}{rl} \psi(z) & (0 < \arg < \frac{2}{3}\pi) \\ -\psi(z) & (\frac{2}{3}\pi < \arg < \frac{4}{3}\pi) \\ \psi(z) & (\frac{4}{3}\pi < \arg < 2\pi). \end{array} \right.$$

8. **Proof of Theorem 1: First Kind Solutions**

Let w be any sub-normal solution of the first kind to $[\mathrm{II}_\alpha]$. We first assume $\alpha \notin \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$, and set $V = w' + w^2 + z/2$, $w_1 = -w - \dfrac{\alpha + 1/2}{V}$, and

$$\Delta(w) = \ell_\oplus - \ell_\ominus.$$

Then w_1 solves $[\mathrm{II}_{\alpha+1}]$, and the poles of w and w_1 and the zeros of V are related as follows:

$$(15) \qquad \begin{array}{rl} \text{(i)} & \operatorname*{res}_p w = -1 \Rightarrow V(p) = \infty \text{ (doubly) and } \operatorname*{res}_p w_1 = 1; \\ \text{(ii)} & \operatorname*{res}_p w = 1 \Rightarrow V(p) = 0 \text{ and } \operatorname*{res}_p w_1 = 0; \\ \text{(ii)} & \operatorname*{res}_p w = 0 \text{ and } V(p) = 0 \Rightarrow \operatorname*{res}_p w_1 = -1. \end{array}$$

The distribution of zeros $\circledcirc$ of V (left and right), and poles $\oplus$ and $\ominus$ with residues 1 and -1, respectively, of both w (left) and w_1 (right):

$$(16) \qquad \begin{array}{rcccccccccccccc} \text{(i)} & \ominus & \ominus & \ominus & \ominus & \ominus & \ominus & \quad & \oplus & \oplus & \oplus & \oplus & \oplus & \oplus \\ \text{(ii)} & \oplus & \oplus & \oplus & \oplus & \oplus & \oplus & & \circledcirc & \circledcirc & \circledcirc & \circledcirc & \circledcirc & \circledcirc \\ \text{(iii)} & \circledcirc & \circledcirc & \circledcirc & \circledcirc & \circledcirc & \circledcirc & & \ominus & \ominus & \ominus & \ominus & \ominus & \ominus \end{array}$$

First of all we obtain $\ell_\oplus(w_1) = \ell_\ominus(w)$ from (i), while

$$m(r, 1/V) \le m(r, w_1) + m(r, w) + O(1) = O(\log r),$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} N(r, 1/V) &= N(r, V) + O(\log r) = 2N_\ominus(r, w) + O(\log r) \\ &= N_\ominus(r, w) - [N_\oplus(r, w) - N_\ominus(r, w)] + N_\oplus(r, w) + O(\log r) \end{aligned}$$

and (ii) and (iii) imply

$$\ell_\ominus(w_1) = \ell_\ominus(w) - \Delta(w) \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta(w_1) = \Delta(w).$$

Repeated application $\left(w_{\nu+1} = -w_\nu - \frac{\alpha+\nu+1/2}{w_\nu' + w_\nu^2 + z/2}\right)$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_\ominus(w_\nu) &= \ell_\ominus(w) - \nu\Delta(w), \quad \Delta(w_\nu) = \Delta(w), \quad \text{and} \\ \ell_\oplus(w_\nu) &= \ell_\ominus(w_{\nu-1}) = \ell_\ominus(w) - (\nu - 1)\Delta(w) \quad (\nu = 1, 2, 3, \ldots), \end{aligned}$$

and this requirers $\Delta(w) \le 0$ for *any* $\alpha \notin \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$ and *any* solution of the first kind, hence $\Delta(w) \le -1$ by Proposition 3. Replacing w by $-w$ and α by $-\alpha$, however, we obtain $\Delta(w) \ge 1$; this contradiction proves the first part.

To deal with the case $\alpha \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$ it suffices to consider $\alpha = 1/2$. If w is a non-Airy solution of the first kind the above method applies "to the right"; we obtain in the same manner $\Delta(w) \le -1$. Instead of working with $-w$ (which is prohibited) we now apply the special Bäcklund transformation $w(z) = -\frac{d}{dz}\log y(-2^{-1/3}z)$ and obtain from Nevanlinna's First Main Theorem

$$\begin{aligned} N_\ominus(r,w) - N_\oplus(r,w) &= N(2^{-1/3}r, 1/y) - N(2^{-1/3}r, y) \\ &= m(2^{-1/3}r, y) - m(2^{-1/3}r, 1/y) + O(1) \le O(\log r), \end{aligned}$$

hence $\Delta(w) \ge 0$. We have thus proved that all sub-normal solutions of the first kind are Airy solutions (and exist only if $\alpha \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$).

9. **Proof of Theorem 1: Second Kind Solutions**

Let w be any sub-normal solution of the second kind to equation $[\mathrm{II}_\alpha]$. For $2\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ it suffices to consider the cases $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha = 1/2$:

- If $[\mathrm{II}_0]$ had a sub-normal solution w of the second kind, then
$$w_1(z) = -\frac{d}{dz}\log w(-2^{-1/3}z)$$
were a non-Airy solution of the first kind to equation $[\mathrm{II}_{\frac{1}{2}}]$.
- Conversely, if $[\mathrm{II}_{\frac{1}{2}}]$ had a sub-normal solution w of the second kind, then
$$w_1^2(z) = -2^{1/3}(w'(-2^{1/3}z) - z/2 - w^2(-2^{1/3}z)),$$
were a solution of the first kind to equation $[\mathrm{II}_0]$.

We now assume $2\alpha \ne \mathbb{Z}$, and set again

$$V = w' + w^2 + z/2, \quad w_1 = -w - \frac{\alpha + 1/2}{V}. \tag{17}$$

Then w_1 solves $[\mathrm{II}_{\alpha+1}]$, and the poles of w and w_1 and the zeros of V are related as in (15). Associated with any string of poles (q_k) of w is a string of poles (p_k) of w_1 as follows: $p_k = q_k$ if $\operatorname*{res}_{q_k} w = -1$, while q_{k+1} is replaced by p_{k+1} with $V(p_{k+1}) = 0$ and $\operatorname*{res}_{p_{k+1}} w = 0$, if $\operatorname*{res}_{q_{k+1}} w = -1$; we may assume that this happens for k even. Since the string (p_k) is already determined by the sub-string (p_{2k}), p_{2k+1} is very close to q_{2k+1}, as is displayed below; ⊕⊚ denotes an "almost double" zero of V: $V(p_{2k+1}) = V(q_{2k+1}) = 0$ with $\operatorname*{res}_{q_{2k+1}} w = 1$ and $\operatorname*{res}_{p_{2k+1}} w = 0$, while $\ominus$ and $\oplus$ denote poles with residues -1 and 1, respectively, for both w (left) and w_1 (right); note the difference to (16).

⊕⊚ ⊖ ⊕⊚ ⊖ ⊕⊚ ⊖ ⊖ ⊕ ⊖ ⊕ ⊖ ⊕

We write $p = p_{2k+1}$ and $q = q_{2k+1}$ and insert

$$w(z) = b + w'(p)(z-p) + \tfrac{1}{2}w''(p)(z-p)^2 + \cdots \quad (b = w(p))$$

with $w'(p) = -b - p/2$ and $w''(p) = \alpha + bp + 2b^3$ into the definition (17) of w_1 to obtain ("with a little help from my friends"—computer algebra software)

$$w_1(z) = -\frac{1}{z-p} - \frac{8bp^2 + (40b^3 - 3)p + (48b^5 - 4b^2 + 12\alpha b^2)}{6(2\alpha+1)}(z-p) + \cdots$$

Comparing with $w_1(z) = -\dfrac{1}{z-p} + \dfrac{p}{6}(z-p) + \cdots$ yields

$$p = \frac{1-\alpha-20b^3 \pm \sqrt{(1-\alpha)^2 - 8(1+7\alpha)b^3 + 16b^6}}{8b} \asymp |b|^2 \quad (b \to \infty).$$

The special solution $\mathfrak{w} = \pm i/\sin(\imath\mathfrak{z})$ in (5) satisfies $|\mathfrak{w}(\mathfrak{z})| \ge 2\kappa|\mathfrak{z}|^{-1}$ on $|\mathfrak{z}| < \delta$ (for some $\kappa \ge 1$), hence $|w(z)| \ge \kappa|z-q|^{-1}$ on $|z-q| < \delta|q|^{-1/2}$ if $|q| \ge r_0$. Since $p - q = o(|p|^{-1/2})$ we obtain $|p|^{-1/2}w(p) \to \infty$ as $p \to \infty$; this, however, contradicts $|p| \asymp |b|^2$, and Theorem 1 is completely proved. q.e.d.

10. **Proof of Corollary 1**

We have just to consider solutions of order $\varrho = 3$. From the special Bäcklund transformation $w_1(z) = -\frac{d}{dz}\log w(-2^{-1/3}z)$, the estimate

$$N_\oplus(r, w_1) - N_\ominus(r, w_1) = O(r^{3/2})$$

(see [14], Thm. 6.2), and Nevanlinna's First Main Theorem we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} m(r, 1/w) &= T(r,w) - N(r,1/w) + O(1) \\ &= N_\oplus(2^{-1/3}r, w_1) + O(\log r) - N_\ominus(2^{-1/3}r, w_1) = O(r^{3/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence zero is non-deficient for w. q.e.d.

11. **Painlevé's Fourth Transcendents: An Outlook**

The solutions to Painlevé's fourth differential equation

$$[\mathrm{IV}_{\alpha,\beta}] \qquad 2ww'' = w'^2 + 3w^4 + 8zw^3 + 4(z^2-\alpha)w^2 + 2\beta$$

are either rational or transcendental meromorphic functions of order ϱ, $2 \le \varrho \le 4$. From

$$w'^2 = w^4 + 4zw^3 + 4(z^2-\alpha)w^2 - 2\beta - 4wW \quad (W' = w^2 + 2zw),$$

follows

$$w'' = 2w^3 + 6zw^2 + 4(z^2-\alpha)w - 2W.$$

Rescaling. The family $(w_h)_{|h|\ge 1}$ of functions $w_h(\mathfrak{z}) = h^{-1}w(h + h^{-1}\mathfrak{z})$ is normal, and every limit function solves

$$2\mathfrak{w}\mathfrak{w}'' = \mathfrak{w}'^2 + 3\mathfrak{w}^4 + 8\mathfrak{w}^3 + 4\mathfrak{w}^2,$$

with constant solutions $\mathfrak{w} = 0, -2/3, -2$, and also

$$\mathfrak{w}'^2 = \mathfrak{w}^4 + 4\mathfrak{w}^3 + 4\mathfrak{w}^2 + 4c\mathfrak{w} \tag{18}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{w}'' = 2\mathfrak{w}^3 + 6\mathfrak{w}^2 + 4\mathfrak{w} + 2c$$

with $-c$ in the cluster set $\mathbf{CL}_\varepsilon$ of $z^{-3}W(z)$, which consists of all limits

$$\lim_{h_n \to \infty} h_n^{-3}W(h_n) \quad (\inf_n |h_n|\mathsf{dist}(h_n, \mathcal{P}) \ge \varepsilon).$$

Like in case $[\mathrm{II}_\alpha]$, $\mathcal{P}$ denotes the sequence of non-zero poles of w, and like there it turns out that $\mathbf{CL} = \mathbf{CL}_\varepsilon$ is independent of ε.

Laurent series expansion about poles. Similar to the case $[\mathrm{II}_\alpha]$ we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} w(z) & = & \pm(z-p)^{-1} - p \pm \frac{1}{3}(p^2+2\alpha\mp 4)(z-p) + \mathbf{h}(z-p)^2 + \cdots \\ W(z) & = & -(z-p)^{-1} + [2\mathbf{h} + 2(\alpha\mp 1)p] + \frac{1}{3}(4\alpha - p^2 \mp 2)(z-p) + \cdots , \end{array}$$

and the limits $-c = \lim\limits_{p_n\to\infty} p_n^{-3}[2\mathbf{h}(p_n) + 2(\alpha\mp 1)p_n] = \lim\limits_{p_n\to\infty} 2p_n^{-3}\mathbf{h}(p_n)$ belong to $\mathbf{CL}$.

Weber-Hermite Solutions. The rôle of the Riccati equations (1) is taken by the so-called *Weber-Hermite equations*

$$w' = -2 \pm (w^2 + 2zw - 2\alpha). \tag{19}$$

Their solutions have order of growth $\varrho = 2$ and solve equation $[\mathrm{IV}_{\alpha,-2(1\pm\alpha)^2}]$. However, the situation is more complicated than in case $[\mathrm{II}_\alpha]$, since their are several continuous one-parameter families of solutions that can be reduced to the Weber-Hermite equation, see §25 in [3]. They occur for parameters $\beta = -2(2n-1\pm\alpha)^2$ and $\beta = -2n^2$, respectively; α is arbitrary, and in both cases n is any integer.

Sub-normal solutions. Our focus is on the fourth Painlevé transcendents with counting function of poles $n(r,w) = O(r^2)$. The right hand side of (18) has discriminant $c^3(27c-8)$. It is quite plausible to analyse the following cases:

First kind. $\mathbf{CL} = \{0\}$, $\mathfrak{w}'^2 = \mathfrak{w}^2(\mathfrak{w}+2)^2$ with solutions

$$\mathfrak{w} = \frac{2e^{\pm 2\mathfrak{z}+\tau}}{1-e^{\pm 2\mathfrak{z}+\tau}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{w} = 0, -2.$$

The strings of poles $(p_k)_{k=0,1,\ldots}$ are defined by

$$\begin{array}{rcl} p_{k+1} & = & p_k + \pi i p_k^{-1} + o(|p_k|^{-1}) \\ p_{k+1} & = & p_k - \pi i p_k^{-1} + o(|p_k|^{-1}), \end{array}$$

hence $p_k \sim \pm(1\pm i)(\pi k)^{1/2}$, with counting function $n(r) \sim \dfrac{r^2}{2\pi}$; $\operatorname*{res}\limits_{p_k} w$ is constant on every string. The Weber-Hermite solutions are of the first kind.

Second kind. $\mathbf{CL} = \{-8/27\}$, $\mathfrak{w}'^2 = \frac{1}{27}\mathfrak{w}(3\mathfrak{w}+8)(3\mathfrak{w}+2)^2$ with solutions

$$\mathfrak{w} = \frac{8}{9\tan^2(\mathfrak{z}/\sqrt{3}+\tau) - 3}$$

(substitute $3 + 8/\mathfrak{w} = \mathfrak{y}^2$) and $\mathfrak{w} = -2/3$ (and neither $\mathfrak{w} = 0$ nor $\mathfrak{w} = -8/3$ occur as limit functions). The strings of poles are defined by

$$\begin{array}{rcl} p_{k+1} & = & p_k + \sqrt{3}\pi p_k^{-1} + o(|p_k|^{-1}) \\ p_{k+1} & = & p_k - \sqrt{3}\pi p_k^{-1} + o(|p_k|^{-1}), \end{array}$$

hence $p_k \sim \pm(2\sqrt{3}\pi k)^{1/2}$ and $p_k \sim \pm i(2\sqrt{3}\pi k)^{1/2}$, respectively, with counting function $n(r) \sim \dfrac{r^2}{2\sqrt{3}\pi}$; the residues alternate, $\operatorname*{res}\limits_{p_{k+1}} w = -\operatorname*{res}\limits_{p_k} w$.

Yosida Solutions. For $0, -8/27 \notin \mathbf{CL}$ all limit functions are elliptic, hence w belongs to the Yosida Class $\mathcal{Y}_{1,1}$ and, in particular, satisfies $T(r,w) \asymp r^4$. The latter remains true if we restrict the cluster set of $z^{-3}W(z)$ to any sector.

REFERENCES

[1] P. Boutroux, Sur quelques propriétés des fonctions entières, *Acta. Math.* **28**, 97-224 (1904).

[2] P. Boutroux, Recherches sur les transcendentes de M. Painlevé et l'étude asymptotique des équations différentielles du seconde ordre, *Ann. École Norm. Supér.* **30**, 255-375 (1913) and *Ann. École Norm. Supér.* **31**, 99-159 (1914).

[3] V. Gromak, I. Laine, and S. Shimomura, *Painlevé differential equations in the complex plane*, W. de Gruyter 2002.

[4] G. Gundersen and E. Steinbart, A generalization of the Airy integral for $f'' + z^n f = 0$, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **337** (1993), 737-755.

[5] W.K. Hayman, *Meromorphic functions*, Clarendon Press, Oxford 1964.

[6] E. Hille, Ordinary differential equations in the complex domain, Dover Publ. 1997.

[7] A. Hinkkanen and I. Laine, Growth results for Painlevé transcendents, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **137** (2004), 645-655.

[8] A. Hinkkanen and I. Laine, Growth of second Painlevé transcendents, preprint, 46 p. (2011).

[9] R. Nevanlinna, *Eindeutige analytische Funktionen*, Springer 1936.

[10] S. Shimomura, Growth of the first, the second and the fourth Painlevé transcendents, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **134** (2003), 259-269.

[11] S. Shimomura, Lower estimates for the growth of the fourth and the second Painlevé transcendents, *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.* **47** (2004), 231-249.

[12] N. Steinmetz, On Painlevé's equations I, II and IV, *J. d'Analyse Math.* **82** (2000), 363-377.

[13] N. Steinmetz, Value distribution of the Painlevé transcendents, *Israel J. Math.* **128** (2002), 29-52.

[14] N. Steinmetz, Global properties of the Painlevé transcendents. New results and open questions, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. A I Math.* **30** (2005), 71-98.

[15] N. Steinmetz, The Yosida class is universal, preprint, 14 p. (2011).

Norbert Steinmetz
Institut für Mathematik
Technische Universität Dortmund
D-44221 Dortmund, Germany
E-mail: stein@math.tu-dortmund.de
Web: http://www.mathematik.tu-dortmund.de/steinmetz/